

6516205436.txt

Referencing XM Satellite Radio and its traffic and weather channels and the benefits I enjoy from these services are a valuable resource for me. I subscribe to XM Satellite Radio and feel they should be allowed to offer this as a service to their subscribers. It is ludicrous to think that I would listen to satellite radio for a variety of music, talk, and news programming but if I wanted to get some information about the traffic and weather then I must tune in to the locally available stations for this service; that is not even rational. When XM Satellite Radio launched its traffic and weather channels I feel that further shows XM Satellite Radio indeed continues to offer its subscribers variety and quality in one neat programming package and it only makes sense. The overall programming offered by XM Satellite Radio is unique to the geographical area that I reside in. Besides I pay for these services and I do not feel that the National Association of Broadcasters should be able to dictate what I can and cannot listen to or be permitted to hear. Is that not a form of CENSORSHIP? As an American citizen I have that right of choice without facing scrutiny if my choice does not reflect what some organization what me to choose and results in CENSORSHIP. Is that not regression for the United States and the freedoms its citizens are suppose to enjoy? I respectfully urge the FCC to reject the NAB's petition 04-160 and to support XM's ability to continue provide variety and quality programming they are known for and stop this effort of CENSORSHIP.